Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/710,733	JOHNSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phallaka Kik	2825
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)	
(2) Jack Friedman (Reg. No. 44,688).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 June 2006	Time: <u>11:04AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-59		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER In response to the Examiner's indication that the claims are subjet requested that a written restriction be given instead so that Application	cted to a restriction requirement,	Applicant's Representative
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
sis not result in resolution of all issues. A blief sulfillially	by the examiner appears in F	ак п авоче.
Shallak hil		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)